

Meeting Agenda

Regular Meeting

March 12th, 2009

7:00 p.m.

Pinal Room 227, Memorial Union Arizona State University

7:06 p.m. **I. Call to Order**

7:10pm Approval of the Minutes- Andrew Rigazio

2nd Stephen Bieda

7:05 p.m. **II. Roll Call of Members & Welcome of Guests**

NAU

Brad Busse- Present

Camille Naaktgeboren-Not Present

Emily Waldron-Present

Alyssa McKinley-Not Present

Kathleen Templin-Present

ASU

West

Ryan Caracciolo-Not Present

Andrew Clark-Present

USG

Mark Appleton-Present

Sarah Atwill-Present

Andrew Rigazio-Present

GPSA

Brad Martin-Present

Emily White-Present

Poly

Kelley Stewart-Not Present

Daniel Cruz-Not Present

Downtown

Rabia Abdulmajeed-Not Present

UA

Tommy Bruce-Present

Stephen Bieda-Present

Kendal Nystedt-Present

Chris Nagata-Present

Mary Venezia-Present

South

Lillian Rautanen-Not Present

Stephanie Tsuya-Not Present

Board Chair

Michael Slugocki-Present

Student Regents

David Martinez III-Not Present

Ross Meyer-Not Present

7:10pm

III. Call to the Audience Welcome of Ryan Anderson, ASA attorney, and Carina ASA's 12 credit intern

IV. Approval of the Minutes- Andrew Rigazio, 2nd Stephen Bieda

7:20 Move to **Executive Session- Andrew Rigazio**

2nd Tommy Bruce

Executive Session: motion to recommend – Tommy Bruce

2nd Andrew Rigazio

Call to question- Michael

Andrew Clark nay

Motion carries

8:12 Michael moves out of executive session

2nd Stephen Bieda

7:20 p.m. **VI. Officer Reports**

Chair- Nominated by the National Pirg for conference at Harvard Institute on Politics and was asked to sit on panel discussing Higher Ed and representing ASA on the panel.

Vice Chair-Written

Secretary-Written

Treasurer-Written

VII. Campus, Student Regent, and Staff Reports

A. Southern District

1. UA

2. UA South

B. Northern District

1. NAU

2. NAU Yuma

C. Central District

1. ASU Tempe

2. ASU Polytechnic

3. ASU West

4. ASU Downtown

D. Student Regents

E. ASA Staff

7:40 p.m. **VIII. Committee Reports**

A. Standing Committees

1. Executive

2. Finance

3. Internal Affairs

4. Legislative

B. Ad-hoc Committees

8:20 p.m. **IX. Discussion Items**

Lobby Day Debrief- Lead by Erin Hertzog - Government Affairs Director

Weaknesses: **David:** Always difficult because we don't know where the student is registered and thinks it is very beneficial if the student is from the district, one student that was lobbying wasn't from the district and that it was apparent that she was not from the district to the representative.

Tommy: New for use to do it partnered with the AEA, not sure if it was good or bad just different and good. **Serena:** Thought vendor for food was really great and allowed the staff to focus on setting up students with meetings etc. -

Strengths:

Tommy: Felt all the problems from last year were addressed in this year, the prep and the pre-training was great and highly beneficial. Lobby day with a partner gave us a precedent to work on in the future for other partnerships. It was a great experience for the interns in experience and bonding.

Michael: The pre trainings were really great and it was strong with us to partner with AEA, because we gained a lot of good coalition partners. **Tommy:** The event was very well coordinated, and stress free.

SWSLC Fall Location, dates

Serena: Should we have a process to bid for the location of SWSLC?

Kendal: Says this needs to be done soon within the next month to outline the plans for the conference, the proposal needs to have a substantial presentation and bid.

Tommy: Asks for executive committee or SWSLC committee to put together guidelines for bids.

Serena: Christina Rocks, the former chair of the SWSLC committee will prepare that.

Emily: Asks that there is an oral presentation and a Q&A session for the proposals

Michael: Next board meeting in early April proposals will be due for 2009 SWSLC

Tuition/ Fee Discussion

Michael: Move this item to a call sometime this upcoming week

Tommy: Asks the exec comm. To prepare sheet for productive discussion for what each campus needs to bring to the table

David: Thinks that there is a good possibility with the tuition taskforce to draft a no fee and no tuition increase proposal put forth from the student representatives on the taskforce. Representative have not been identified yet, and need to be appointed soon. Thinks it is important to appoint taskforce and work group members by next week at the latest. The members need to be working on the student input form and submit it to ABOR central office to be included in the next tuition taskforce meeting.

Kendal: Exec comm. will meet in the morning and turn out the guidelines so the campus can come forth with proposals on the upcoming phone calls.

Michael moves discussion item to phone call

FY 10 Budget

Tommy: Tie in fight against budget cuts with the tuition and fee proposals and the hardships they will ensue

Kendal: Agree. We have to have parents pledge involved now because legislators are very concerned about the parents of these students. We need to have one place where the parent pledge will come to and go into a specific database and have a plan of action for something the parents can actually do.

8:45 p.m. **XI. Unfinished Business**

New Business

Voting Bylaw

Tommy Bruce calls to question

2nd Sarah Atwill

Role Call Vote

NAU

Brad Busse- yes

Camille Naaktgeboren

Emily Waldron- yes

Alyssa McKinley

Kathleen Templin-yes

ASU

West

Ryan Caracciolo

Andrew Clark- yes

USG

Mark Appleton-yes
Sarah Atwill-yes
Andrew Rigazio-yes

GPSA

Brad Martin-yes
Emily White-yes

Poly

Kelley Stewart-
Daniel Cruz-

Downtown

Rabia Abdulmajeed-

UA

Tommy Bruce-yes
Stephen Bieda-yes
Kendal Nystedt-yes
Chris Nagata-yes
Mary Venezia-yes

South

Lillian Rautanen-
Stephanie Tsuya-

Board Chair

Michael Slugocki-yes

Student Regents

David Martinez III-
Ross Meyer-
Motion carries

--Accountability Bylaw

Andrew: Will work with the IA committee to come forth with a better proposal to be voted on in the next meeting. Tommy: Questions, How would it effect if the vote is taken away can they proxy? Kendal: They would not get to vote. Tommy: Quorum, and how would it be affected? Andrew: It is based on three board members, if they showed it would be quorum but they would have no vote. Kendal: Immediate concern about the right of elected positions to oversee appointed directors and would hold it accountable and would be able to use it as a positive incentive to make sure that student body presidents could use it to keep board members active. Opposed to this with elected officials because constituents would lose their voice on this board. Some type of repercussion or student body presidents but without losing their votes, to hold them accountable to their senate and constituents. Andrew: Maybe student body presidents lose their proxy? Tommy: Needs more discussion. Stephen: Look at options to make representation for graduate students. Andrew: Legitimate excuses are acceptable.

XIII. Announcements

Serena: Remind everyone that our next scheduled board meeting is April 30th at the UofA, and ABOR meetings May 1st & 2nd, as well as banquet May1st and new board orientation on May 2nd.

Tuition Taskforce Meeting moved from April 9th to April 13th, 2009.

9:05 p.m. **XIV. Adjournment**

Michael Slugocki motions to adjourn

2nd Mark Appleton

Motion Carries